



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D.C. 20231,
on June 9, 1997

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW

By Jyoti L. Chack

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 16994-31-2-5

#14
60
7-11-97

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)

H. Deboer et al.) Examiner: J. Chambers

Application No.: 08/476,798) Art Unit: 1804

Filed: June 7, 1995)

For: PRODUCTION OF RECOMBINANT
POLYPEPTIDES BY BOVINE
SPECIES AND TRANSGENIC
METHODS)

RESPONSE

RECEIVED

JUL 9 1997

GROUP 1800

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Reconsideration of the office action mailed December 9, 1996, is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claim 98 stands rejected as anticipated under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by Conneely et al. The Examiner, citing to claim 3, says Conneely teaches a cDNA sequencing encoding human lactoferrin.

In response, it is noted that the presently claimed cDNA sequence differs from the DNA sequence of Conneelly in at least